

Example 2.6 Pile foundation in sand

Note: this is a persistent design situation; for simplicity, accidental design situations do NOT need to be checked.

Question	Instruction	Answer
GENERAL		
1	Please provide your contact details in case we need to clarify your submission*	*Will be kept strictly confidential Name: Adam Krasieński Affiliation: Gdansk University of Technology Email address: akra@pg.gda.pl
2	How many structures of this kind have you previously designed?	Tick one <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> 1-2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3-6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> More than 6
3	Having completed your design to Eurocode 7, how confident are you that the design is sound?	Tick one <input type="checkbox"/> Very unsure <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Confident <input type="checkbox"/> Very confident
ULTIMATE LIMIT STATE		
4	What correlations did you use to derive soil parameter values (if used) for the ULS verification? If more than one, please list others below	Free text Description: Polish code: PN-B-04452 Author: Title: Pages:
4a	Any other correlations? (please give same info as above)	
5	What assumptions did you make in choosing these correlations?	Free text Because there are a correlations between cone resistance q_c and soil parameters I_D and I_L
6	How did you account for any variation in parameters with depth?	Tick one <input type="checkbox"/> Ignored variation with depth <input type="checkbox"/> Assumed linear variation <input type="checkbox"/> Assumed bi-linear variation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assumed stepped variation <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) ...
7	Please explain the reasons for your answer to Q6	Free text Because the subsoil is layered and the distribution of q_c showed on fig. 2.6b can be divided to several parts of constant average values of q_c .
8	What is the characteristic value of q_c at these depths?	Provide values in units of MPa At 2.5 m, $q_c = 5$ At 7.5 m, $q_c = 4$ At 12.5 m, $q_c = 2.5$ At 17.5 m, $q_c = 13$ At 22.5 m, $q_c = 13$
9	How did you assess these values?	Tick all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By eye <input type="checkbox"/> By linear regression <input type="checkbox"/> By statistical analysis <input type="checkbox"/> From an existing standard (specify) ... <input type="checkbox"/> From a published correlation (specify) ... <input type="checkbox"/> Comparison with a previous design <input type="checkbox"/> From the soil description, not using the data <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) ...
10	(If determined) What is the characteristic value of unit shaft resistance q_s at these depths?	Provide values in units of kPa At 2.5 m, $q_s = 12$ At 7.5 m, $q_s = 22$ At 12.5 m, $q_s = 0$ At 17.5 m, $q_s = 75$ At 22.5 m, $q_s = 75$
11	(If determined) What is the characteristic value of unit base resistance q_b at these depths?	Provide values in units of kPa At 2.5 m, $q_b = 0$ At 7.5 m, $q_b = 0$ At 12.5 m, $q_b = 0$ At 17.5 m, $q_b = 3125$ At 22.5 m, $q_b = 3125$
12	Which calculation model did you use to determine the pile's compressive resistance?	Tick one <input type="checkbox"/> Annex D.6 from EN 1997-2 <input type="checkbox"/> Annex D.7 from EN 1997-2 <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative given in a national annex (specify) ... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alternative given in a national standard (specify) ... PN-B-02482 <input type="checkbox"/> Finite element analysis <input type="checkbox"/> Finite difference analysis <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) ...
13	Which country's National Annex did you use to interpret EN 1997-1?	Free text Polish
14	Which Design Approach did you use for verification of the Ultimate Limit State (ULS)?	Tick one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design Approach 1 Combinations 1 and 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Design Approach 1 Combination 1 only <input type="checkbox"/> Design Approach 1 Combination 2 only <input type="checkbox"/> Design Approach 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Design Approach 2* <input type="checkbox"/> Design Approach 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) ...
15 15a	What values of partial factors did you use for this ULS verification?	Provide values 1 st combination 2 nd combination (if used) $\gamma_G = 1.35$ $\gamma_Q = 1.5$ $\gamma_G = 1.0$ $\gamma_Q = 1.3$ γ_ϕ γ_c γ_ϕ γ_c

			γ_{cu}	$\gamma_s = 1.0$	γ_{cu}	$\gamma_s = 1.3$
			$\gamma_b = 1.25$	γ_t	$\gamma_b = 1.6$	γ_t
16	What correlation factors (if any) did you use for this verification?	Provide values	$\xi_3 = 1.4$		$\xi_4 = -$	
17	What model factor (if any) did you use for this verification?	Provide values	$\gamma_{Rd} = 1.0$			
18	What length does the pile need to avoid an ultimate limit state?	Provide value in m	$L_{ULS} = 21.0$			
19	What is the design compressive force that the pile must be designed for according to Eurocode 2?	Provide values in kN	Design compressive force $F_{cd} = 630$			
SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATE						
20	(If determined) What is the settlement of the pile in the serviceability limit state?	Provide value in mm	$s_{SLS} =$			
CONCLUDING QUESTIONS						
21	What other assumptions did you need to make to complete your design?	Free text				
22	Please specify any other data that you would have liked to have had to design this type of foundation	Free text	Number of piles in the foundation			
23	How conservative do you consider your previous national practice to be for this design example?	Tick one	<input type="checkbox"/> Very conservative <input type="checkbox"/> Conservative <input type="checkbox"/> About right <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unconservative <input type="checkbox"/> Very unconservative			
24	How conservative do you consider Eurocode 7 (with your National Annex) to be for this example?	Tick one	<input type="checkbox"/> Very conservative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conservative <input type="checkbox"/> About right <input type="checkbox"/> Unconservative <input type="checkbox"/> Very unconservative			
25	How does your Eurocode 7 design compare with your previous national practice?	Tick one	<input type="checkbox"/> Much more conservative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> More conservative <input type="checkbox"/> About the same <input type="checkbox"/> Less conservative <input type="checkbox"/> Much less conservative			
26	Please provide any other relevant information needed to understand your solution to this design exercise	Free text				
PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR ANSWERS AT www.eurocode7.com/etc10/Example 2.6 THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTION!						